

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
MIDDLE DISTRICT OF FLORIDA
TAMPA DIVISION

JEFFREY THELEN,
Plaintiff,

v.

SOMATICS, LLC,
Defendant.

Case No.: 8:20-cv-01724-TPB-JSS

VERDICT

Do you find from a preponderance of the evidence:

1. That Somatics placed the ECT device on the market without adequate instructions or warnings to the physician who prescribed ECT treatment to Thelen?

Answer Yes or No

YES

If your answer is No, this ends your deliberations, and your foreperson should sign and date the last page of this verdict form. If your answer is Yes, go to the next question.

2. That the absence of adequate instructions or warnings was a proximate cause of damage to Thelen?

Answer Yes or No

NO

If your answer is No, this ends your deliberations, and your foreperson should sign and date the last page of this verdict form. If your answer is Yes, go to the next question.

- 3. That Thelen failed to file suit within four years after he discovered, or in the exercise of reasonable diligence should have discovered, the existence of the injury or damage.

Answer Yes or No _____

If your answer is Yes, this ends your deliberations, and your foreperson should sign and date the last page of this verdict form. If your answer is No, go to the questions regarding damages.

DAMAGES

- 4. What is the amount of Thelen’s damages for future medical care, if any?

\$ _____

- 5. What is the amount of Thelen’s loss of income, if any?

\$ _____

- 6. What is the amount of Thelen’s damages for physical pain, mental suffering, inconvenience, humiliation, injury to reputation, and loss of society and companionship, if any?

\$ _____

Please sign and date this form and return to the Courtroom.

SO SAY WE ALL THIS 8th DAY OF June, 2023.

FOREPERSON

Printed Name: _____